

---

## Report on Questionnaire Answers

Questionnaire: Local Plan 2020

Question: [36: Appendix 2: Draft policies: Radioactive Waste] Do you think t...

Respondent Name	User Response: Text	Response Created
Alan Jones - Hanham & District Greenbelt Conservation Society	As above, we have to trust in the governing bodies responsible for dealing with this lethal material. We are unsure of the need for this Policy, as surely a fully compliant plan is already in place, or does this revised policy conceal less stringent applications of the previous National Procedures for dealing with this material??	26 Feb 2021
Andrew Gunn - Somerset County Council	Agree with the suggested approach and have no other issues to raise that should be considered in the policy. Supportive of the approach proposed to Community Benefits, this being in line with NuLeAF position on such matters.	01 Mar 2021
Angela Chapman	Consider the wildlife and the effect it will have on rare species, migration and the already established wildlife. Too much around the country is being destroyed and natural habitats lessened by over building	23 Feb 2021
Angela Crabtree	Please see the Trapp'd Response.  (Thornbury Residents Against Poorly Planned Development)	28 Feb 2021
Anne Thomson - Burbank Neighbourhood Watch	Safety	21 Jan 2021
Ashfield Land	No comments.	31 Mar 2021
Catherine Graham	far more commitment and detail required for it to be taken seriously.	08 Feb 2021
CEG and the Charfield Landowners Consortium (CEGCLC)	Please see accompanying covering letter.	23 Apr 2021
Chris Rich - Mizmo Communications Ltd	No comment.	11 Mar 2021
Christina Biggs - Friends of Suburban Bristol Railways	Radioactive waste must be carefully disposed of and is safer to transport away by rail than by road. There is limited land in the area for storage of waste and there is little local expertise with this. Any radioactive waste should be disposed of in accordance with the procedures of the operator and certainly not be kept near the site of Oldbury.	27 Feb 2021
Clara Goss -	Pegasus make no comment.	26 Apr

<b>Respondent Name</b>	<b>User Response: Text</b>	<b>Response Created</b>
Redrow Homes Ltd		2021
Clifton Homes (SW) Ltd	We do not seek to comment on these at this stage.	24 Mar 2021
Colin Gardner - TRAPP'D	No comment.	15 Mar 2021
David George	As 34	15 Feb 2021
David Hathaway	No comment	27 Feb 2021
David Redgewell - South West Transport Network and Railfuture Severnside	Radioactive waste should be moved by rail from Oldbury via the Tytherington Branch line or from Berkeley Sharpness Branch and not by road.  As is the case by Hinckley Point power station in Somerset through Bridgwater.	28 Feb 2021
Donna Simmons - Emersons Green Town Council	No	01 Mar 2021
Ellandi LLP On Behalf of Crestbridge Corporate Trustees Ltd and Crestbridge T...	No comments.	05 May 2021
Emma Jarvis	No.	28 Feb 2021
Fiona Milden - Vistry Homes Limited	Vistry Homes has no comments on this policy.	09 Mar 2021
Hannick Homes	N/A.	25 Mar 2021
Hazel Jones - Hawkesbury Parish Council	Hawkesbury Parish Council are not qualified to comment on this section of the policy	01 Mar 2021
Helen Johnstone - Stroud District Council	Support the overall policy approach for the storage of radioactive waste in conjunction with policies for the decommissioning of Oldbury Power Station and any future nuclear new build NSIP to ensure that strategic level impacts and mitigation measures are adequately planned for.	26 Feb 2021
James Carpenter - Falfield Parish	Don't Know.	14 Apr 2021

<b>Respondent Name</b>	<b>User Response: Text</b>	<b>Response Created</b>
Council		
John Acton	I don't know.	26 Mar 2021
John Mills - Cotswolds Conservation Board	No comment.	16 Mar 2021
Jonathan Edwardes - Pilning and Severn Beach Parish Council	Additional comments sent by email on Monday 1st March 2021 - Removal of wastes should go by rail or boat.	26 Feb 2021
Kate Kelliher	We should work closely with Magnox.	31 Jan 2021
Kevin Masters	I have read Trapp'd's response and wish to add my name to it.	25 Feb 2021
Lauren Cook - Stride Treglown	No comment on this matter	01 Mar 2021
Lee Taylor	Don't know this policy but consider the impact on the environment in every step. What you do today will affect the next 20-60 years min	23 Jan 2021
Linda Byrne - Siston Hill Residents Association	I can't see enough information to know what the policy is.	08 Dec 2020
Mactaggart & Mickel - Frampton Cotterell	N/A.	07 Apr 2021
Mactaggart & Mickel - Pilning	N/A.	25 Mar 2021
Martyn Hall	You better make sure it's taken care of for future generations.	26 Feb 2021
Matthew McCollom	The principles are sound. The manner of implementation is the key.	28 Feb 2021
Maurice Wayne	No	08 Feb 2021
Mr. Blake - Oldland Parish Council	Not qualified to answer	17 Feb 2021
Neil Oviatt	I would like radioactive waste disposed of elsewhere.	30 Nov 2020

<b>Respondent Name</b>	<b>User Response: Text</b>	<b>Response Created</b>
Nick Woodward	No knowledge of this area/topic.	28 Feb 2021
Nicola O'Connell	No comment	27 Feb 2021
Patrick Williams	See 33, in line with national policy and the required safety regulations, recognising this is a very long term situation.	09 Mar 2021
Paula Evans - Rangeworthy Parish Council	One assumes that as the decommissioning has already begun, that specialists have been employed to deal with the radioactive waste according to current safety guidelines and legislation.	26 Feb 2021
Persimmon Homes Severn Valley	No Comment.	11 May 2021
Peter Box	No comment.	23 Feb 2021
Peter Rawlinson - Gleeson Strategic Land	No comments.	10 Mar 2021
Philip Matthews - Nuleaf (Nuclear Legacy Advisory Forum)	<p>The proposed policy is linked to that on Decommissioning. It complements the proposals in the Decommissioning Policy for the development of an integrated approach to land use planning and for appropriate interim and end state uses.</p> <p>The policy reflects the changing context for radioactive waste management, and in particular the potential for disposal of some Low-Level or Very Low-Level Waste (LLW or VLLW) on site. It notes the recent NDA Radioactive Waste Strategy and Environment Agency guidance and sets out the criteria against which the local authority will assess and proposals for the management, storage or disposal of waste at Oldbury. It also addresses off-site impacts and the approach to considering any proposals for the import of waste.</p> <p>Finally, it addresses the issue of Community Benefits and the reasons why the establishment of an appropriately government Community Fund should be established. This is welcome.</p> <p>In terms of the supporting text for the policy we would suggest that it may be worth referencing the following documents which are in development and likely to be published in consultation or final form in the coming months:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The development by the UK Government (BEIS) of a new Decommissioning and Legacy Waste Management Policy, due for consultation in early 2021.</li> <li>• The NDA's guiding Strategy 2021-26 (Strategy 4) due for publication in February 2021.</li> <li>• The anticipated publication by the UK Government of Guidance for On-Site Disposal of Radioactive Waste from Nuclear Sites.</li> </ul>	20 Jan 2021
Rebecca Woodward	This is a specialist area	28 Feb 2021
Redcliffe Homes	No comments.	01 Jun

<b>Respondent Name</b>	<b>User Response: Text</b>	<b>Response Created</b>
		2021
Redrow Homes (SW)	N/A.	29 Apr 2021
Richard Lloyd	I have no additional issues to suggest for inclusion in the policy.	03 Mar 2021
Robert Harris - Olveston Parish Council	No	26 Feb 2021
Robin Winfield	See above.	19 Feb 2021
Roger Hall	See TRAPP'D response.	25 Feb 2021
Sean and Jacqueline Rinaldi	No comment.	26 Mar 2021
Simon Steele-Perkins - Waddeton Park Limited	No.	06 May 2021
South West Strategic Developments (SWSD)	N/A.	31 Mar 2021
St. Modwen Developments and The Tortworth Estate	N/A.	05 Mar 2021
Steven Freke	No new build should take place until a long term viable safe cost effective disposal of nuclear waste is established	27 Feb 2021
Steve Seward	Remove the need to create Nuclear power - no waste problem	13 Feb 2021
Sue Green - Home Builders Federation	The HBF have no comment on the Council's draft Radioactive Waste Policy.	05 Mar 2021
The Tortworth Estate	N/A.	05 Mar 2021
Trystan Mabbitt - Hanson UK - Heidelberg Cement Group	No comment.	18 Mar 2021
Victoria Bailey - Oldbury on	Broadly speaking these policies are acceptable but more emphasis should be given to community benefits that must fully compensate and incentivise acceptance of the	24 Mar 2021

---

<b>Respondent Name</b>	<b>User Response: Text</b>	<b>Response Created</b>
Severn Parish Council	impacts such activities will have and for the total duration.  Note The policy for hazardous waste may not just be radioactive given that other NSIP's could in time impact the area should this be included in the NSIP section?	
Vistry Group	No comment.	06 May 2021
William Howell	No	23 Feb 2021

Report run at 4 Feb 2022 10:54:23. Total records: 66