

South Gloucestershire Core Strategy Examination Action List

Proposed Changes –Matter 16 – Infrastructure & Developer Contributions

1. At the EiP Session Matter 16 the inspector requested that the Council consider additional words with regard to the supporting text to policy CS6 . This was with respect to the need to prioritise infrastructure, particularly with regard to major development sites.
2. The Council stated at the hearing that it recognised choices may need to be made with regard to prioritising infrastructure, particular where the New Neighbourhoods may be concerned. However, policy CS6 is a generic policy and applicable to all development across the district. Prioritisation would normally take place in the course of the development management process. The Council considered that it would be ‘adding words for words sake’. Therefore, the Council remains of this opinion and does not intend to suggest any further modifications in this respect.
3. Ms Rosie Baker of Terrence O’Rourke requested that a minor amendment be made to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (EB22/1) pg5, under Cribbs Patchway New Neighbourhood – Public Transport – Funding Sources, to recognise that other funding sources, in addition to ‘Developer Funded (S106/CIL) would be necessary to deliver new passenger services and provision of stations on the Hallen Freight Line. The Council agrees with this change. The following wording is suggested: ~~Developer Funded~~ *Funding sources may include: S106/CIL, major transport scheme funding and / or other DfT capital grant, SGC and rail operator contribution and other sources as may be identified.*

Daniel Jones - 28 June 2012