

**SOUTH GLOUCESTERSHIRE CORE STRATEGY
– EXAMINATION HEARING**

**STATEMENTS ON BEHALF OF PERSIMMON
HOMES AND MAXIMUS DEVELOPMENTS**

MAY 2012

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Matter 1: Legal Compliance/Procedural Matters	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 2: Justification – The Evidence Base	2
Matter 3: Spatial Portrait, Issues, Visions and Objectives	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 4: Sustainability Appraisal	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 5: Regional Strategy	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 6: Green Belt	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 7: Spatial Strategy, Location of Development	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 8: Provision and Distribution of Housing	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 12: Gypsy and Traveller Provision – Policies CS21 & CS22	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 16: Infrastructure and Developer Contributions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 17: Green and Community Infrastructure & Cultural Activities, Sport & Recreation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 18: Renewables	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 19: Design	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 20: Density/Diversity	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Matter 21: Yate and Chipping Sodbury	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendices:	
Appendix 1 – Community Facility Audit	

ID No: 4012993

24 May 2012

TA Ref: PERA2019
LPA Ref:
Office Address: 10 Queen Square
Bristol
BS1 4NT
Telephone 0117 989 7000
Date of Issue: May 2012

Introduction

- 1.1 The following Statements have been prepared by Turley Associates on behalf of Persimmon Homes and Maximus Developments, who control land to the east of Chipping Sodbury.
- 1.2 Representations to the Draft Core Strategy (and subsequent proposed changes) were previously submitted on behalf of Persimmon Homes and Maximus Developments. Turley Associates are now instructed to progress these representations at the forthcoming Examination.
- 1.3 The following Statements provide our initial comments based on each of the matters identified by the Inspector for Examination at the respective sessions:
 - Matter 1: Legal Compliance/Procedural Matters
 - Matter 2: Justification – the Evidence Base
 - Matter 3: Spatial Portrait, Issues, Vision & Objectives
 - Matter 4: Sustainability Appraisal
 - Matter 5: Regional Strategy
 - Matter 6: Green Belt
 - Matter 7: Spatial Strategy, Location of Development
 - Matter 8: Provision and Distribution of Housing (see separate joint response submitted by Barton Willmore)
 - Matter 12: Gypsy and Traveller Provision (see separate response submitted by Turley Associates)
 - Matter 16: Infrastructure and Developer Contributions
 - Matter 17: Green and community Infrastructure and Cultural Activities, Sport and Recreation
 - Matter 18: Renewables
 - Matter 19: Design
 - Matter 20: Density/Diversity
 - Matter 21: Yate and Chipping Sodbury
- 1.4 These representations will be elaborated further at the relevant Examination sessions.

Matter 2: Justification – The Evidence Base

Question 1: Is the evidence base sufficiently comprehensive to support the strategy which the Council has put forward and, if not, what critical information is missing?

- 1.5 The Council's evidence base remains insufficient to support the strategy put forward in the Core Strategy. In particular, the evidence base prepared to support the proposed housing provision and the Green Belt strategy is not sufficiently comprehensive or justified. This situation has not been rectified by the additional evidence prepared by the Council in December 2011, namely the Supplementary Housing Paper (December, 2011) and Strategic Green Belt Assessment (December, 2011). Both documents have been prepared to effectively 'retrofit' the Council's proposed strategy, rather than provide a comprehensive and balanced evidence base. As such, the Council's approach to housing provision and additional Green Belt releases is unjustified and not supported by a robust and up-to-date evidence base.
- 1.6 As set out in our response to Matter 12, the Council's evidence base relating to gypsy and traveller provision is also insufficient to support the proposed strategy. This issue is addressed in more detail in our further response to Matter 12, and will be discussed in more detail at the relevant Examination session.

Question 2: The Council continues to refer to policies in the South Gloucestershire Local Plan. In view of changes to the development plan system is it satisfactory to rely on older policies and how can any potential deficiency be addressed.

- 1.7 As a matter of principle, the Council should review all policies and test them against more up to date national policy and evidence. It is not satisfactory to refer to those policies that formed part of the Local Plan, without such a thorough review.